### ALABAMA WATERFOWL SURVEY

ANNUAL REPORT, 2015-2016

## JUD EASTERWOOD, STUDY LEADER

May, 2016



Federal Aid Project

Funded by your purchase of hunting licenses and equipment.

# ALABAMA DIVISION OF WILDLIFE AND FRESHWATER FISHERIES

WILDLIFE RESTORATION PROGRAM

Grant Number W-35, Study 1

#### 2015-2016 ANNUAL WATERFOWL REPORT

STATE: Alabama Grant Number: W-35

Time Period: July 1, 2015-June 30, 2016

GRANT TYPE: Surveys and Inventories

GRANT TITLE: Statewide Wildlife Research and Surveys

STUDY I: WATERFOWL RESEARCH AND SURVEYS

<u>Study Objective</u>: To determine waterfowl population characteristics and harvest information needed to plan management of ducks and geese in Alabama.

Job I-A. Title: Cooperative Waterfowl Banding

Job Objective: To band waterfowl in accordance with assigned quotas.

Activity: Wood ducks were banded during the summer of 2015 at the following locations in Alabama:

- Near Tanner in Limestone County
- Near Beaverdam in Limestone County
- Near Brownsboro in Madison County
- Near Decatur in Morgan County
- Near Florence in Lauderdale County
- Near Guin in Marion County
- Near Fayette in Fayette County
- Near Stevenson in Jackson County

- Near Hollywood in Jackson County
- Near Ohatchee in Calhoun County
- Near Daviston in Clay County
- Near Goodwater in Coosa County
- Near Opelika in Lee County
- Near Eufaula in Barbour County
- Near Opp in Covington County
- Near Loxley in Baldwin County

A total of 555 wood ducks were banded this year with most birds being collected in Limestone County. The banding quota recommended for our agency in the Banding Needs document is 499. The classification of birds banded during the 2015 banding season is outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Number of Wood Ducks Banded by Sex and Age During the 2015 Banding Season.

Age	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Number</u>
AHY	M	112
AHY	F	121
HY	M	179
HY	F	143
U	U	0

<u>Remarks</u>: Efforts to trap and band wood ducks were repeated in similar locations as past years. Banders in many areas had difficulties on trap sites due to high levels of disturbance due to feral hogs, squirrels, raccoons, and coyotes keeping the wood ducks from the bait site. Widespread flooding during the summer was also a major issue for some banding locations. Ducks feeding at bait sites but not entering the confusion traps was also cited as a primary issue at several sites. Other banders reported inability to attract ducks to bait sites for unknown reasons.

<u>Recommendations</u>: This project will incorporate additional banding sites in order to continue to meet the quota set for our agency. Additionally, different trapping methods such as floating traps, rocket nets, cannon nets, above-water platform traps, electric fenced trapping areas, whoosh nets, and traps partially submerged in water will be investigated. Specific training for proper use of rocket nets and cannon nets has been scheduled and will be completed. Volunteer assistance from local DU Chapters and student interns will be utilized to increase man hour efforts across the state.

#### Job I-B. Title: Survey of Waterfowl Hunting Activity

<u>Job I-B. Objective</u>: To monitor waterfowl harvest trends and hunter activity on the major waterfowl wintering areas within Alabama.

<u>Activity</u>: Waterfowl hunter bag checks were made at the checking stations on the Tennessee River public hunting areas, private duck hunting properties and in the Mobile Delta at selected times during the waterfowl season. Information regarding the hunter bag checks conducted during the past 10 years is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Duck Hunting Activity Comparison for the Tennessee River and Mobile Bay/Delta Areas for the Past 10 Years.

	Tenness	see River	Mobile Ba	ay & Delta
Hunting Season	Average Hours/Trip	Average Ducks/Trip	Average Hours/Trip	Average Ducks/Trip
15-16	3.5	1.2	2.9	0.7
14-15	3.5	1.2	3.1	1.2
13-14	3.8	1.2	2.4	1.2
12-13	3.7	0.9	3.0	2.1
11-12	3.2	1.8	3.3	2.1
10-11	3.3	1.6	3.6	1.5
09-10	3.6	1.8	3.3	1.3
08-09	3.8	1.3	3.1	1.6
07-08	4.1	1.0	2.9	1.2
06-07	3.7	0.6	3.2	0.9

The composition of the Alabama duck harvest by species for 2015-2016 is contained in Table 3. Historical duck hunting activity in the Tennessee River and Mobile Bay and Delta is summarized in Table 4. The composition of the Alabama duck hunting harvest by percent for 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s is contained in Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 for Tennessee Valley and 9, 10, 11 and 12 for Mobile Delta.

Table 3. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the 2015-2016 Season on the Tennessee River and Mobile Bay and Delta.

	Tennessee River	Mobile Bay and Delta
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
Mallard	29.0	0.0
Black Duck	2.0	0.7
Gadwall	32.0	29.0
Wigeon	1.0	0.0
GW Teal	6.0	1.9
Pintail	0.8	0.0
Canvasback	1.0	1.9
Scaup	1.0	10.0
Ringneck	10.0	0.0
Wood Duck	8.0	5.2
Goldeneye	0.0	0.0
Bufflehead	0.4	26.2
Merganser	0.3	0.7
BW Teal	0.1	12.4
Shoveler	2.0	1.9
Ruddy Duck	0.2	0.0
Redhead	7.0	8.6
Mottled Duck	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0

Table 4. Historical Duck Hunting Activity Comparison for the Tennessee River and Mobile Bay and Delta Areas.

Decade of the 2010's	Tenness	see River	Mobile Ba	y and Delta
Season	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip
15-16	3.5	1.2	2.9	0.7
14-15	3.5	1.2	3.1	1.2
13-14	3.8	1.2	2.4	1.2
12-13	3.7	0.9	3.0	2.1
11-12	3.2	1.8	3.3	2.1
10-11	3.3	1.6	3.6	1.5
Low Value for Decade	3.2	0.9	2.4	1.2
High Value for Decade	3.8	1.8	3.6	2.1
Average	3.5	1.3	3.1	1.6
Decade of the 2000's	Tenness	see River	Mobile Ba	y and Delta
Season	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip
09-10	3.6	1.8	3.3	1.3
08-09	3.9	1.1	2.7	1.6
07-08	4.1	1.0	2.9	1.0
06-07	3.7	0.6	3.2	0.9
05-06	4.5	1.6	3.8	1.9
04-05	3.1	1.6	3.4	1.0
03-04	3.1	2.3	2.7	1.4
02-03	4.1	1.0	3.2	0.9
01-02	4.0	0.9	3.2	1.8
00-01	5.2	1.3	3.3	1.4
Low Value for Decade	3.1	0.6	2.7	0.9
High Value for Decade	5.2	2.3	3.8	1.9
Average	4.0	1.3	3.3	1.3
Decade of the 1990's	Tenness	see River	Mobile Ra	y and Delta
Season	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip
99-00	4.4	0.9	3.4	2.0
98-99	4.9	1.3	3.3	2.0
97-98	4.7	1.0	3.4	1.9
96-97	5.7	1.0	3.6	2.0
95-96	5.6	1.2	3.4	1.9
94-95	5.0	1.1	3.8	1.5
93-94	4.8	0.8	3.8	1.5
92-93	4.6	0.8	3.9	1.7
91-92	4.6	0.6	3.8	1.5
90-91	4.6	0.8	4.1	1.6
Low Value for Decade	4.4	0.6	3.3	1.5
High Value for Decade	5.7	1.3	4.1	2.0
Average	4.9	0.9	3.6	1.8

Table 4 Continued. Historical Duck Hunting Activity Comparison for the Tennessee River and Mobile Bay and Delta Areas

Decade of the 1980's	Tenness	see River	Mobile Ba	y and Delta
Season	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip	Average Trip Hours	Average Harvest/Trip
89-90	4.8	1.1	3.5	1.8
88-89	4.9	.6	2.8	1.5
87-88	4.8	.8	3.6	2.0
86-87	4.7	.6	4.4	1.1
85-86	4.7	.7	3.8	2.4
84-85	4.5	.7	4.8	3.5
83-84	5.0	1.0	3.6	1.4
82-83	4.9	.6	4.0	2.7
81-82	4.5	.6	4.0	3.0
80-81	4.8	.6		1.6
Low Value for Decade	4.5	.6	2.8	1.1
High Value for Decade	5.0	1.1	4.8	3.5
Average	4.8	.7	3.8	2.1

Table 5. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 2010's on the Tennessee River.

Season	19-20	18-19	17-18	16-17	15-16	14-15	13-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	6 Yr. Avg
# hunters					2742	2200	4059	3482	747	1054	2747
checked					<u>3742</u>	3399	4039	3482	747	1034	2/4/
# ducks					4510	4067	4767	3025	1346	1707	3239
checked					<u>4519</u>	4007	4/0/	3023	1340	1707	3239
Mallard					<u>29.0</u>	12.0	16.8	11.5	6.4	17.7	15.5
Black Duck					<u>2.0</u>	0.4	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.8
Gadwall					<u>32.0</u>	37.6	44.3	32.4	42.6	46.8	39.3
Wigeon					<u>1.0</u>	1.3	1.6	1.5	0.7	1.5	1.3
GW Teal					<u>6.0</u>	10.3	3.6	3.3	2.5	2.5	4.7
Pintail					0.8	0.5	1.6	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.8
Canvasback					<u>1.0</u>	1.3	1.8	0.6	0.5	2.1	1.3
Scaup					<u>1.0</u>	0.7	0.3	3.5	1.8	1.1	1.4
Ringneck					<u>10.0</u>	16.3	13.0	19.0	26.5	10.6	15.9
Wood Duck					8.0	9.3	7.1	18.1	14.0	8.6	10.9
Goldeneye					0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2
Bufflehead					<u>0.4</u>	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.5	0.7
Merganser					0.3	0.8	0.4	1.5	0.4	2.0	0.9
BW Teal					<u>0.1</u>	2.1	0.2	1.1	0.3	0.3	0.7
Shoveler					<u>2.0</u>	3.0	3.1	3.7	2.4	3.2	2.9
Ruddy Duck					0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.3
Redhead					<u>7.0</u>	3.7	4.1	1.4	0.0	0.1	2.7
Mottled Duck					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oldsquaw					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 6. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 2000's on the Tennessee River.

Season	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	04-05	03-04	02-03	01-02	00-01	10 Yr. Avg
# hunters	1870	1677	1732	1526	2256	1786	830	1232	1817	1314	1537
checked											
# ducks	3366	1844	1915	936	2734	2887	1868	1195	1611	1763	1856
checked											
Mallard	21.5	25.3	9.2	19.0	22.4	13.8	8.6	30.0	32.4	48.2	23.0
Black Duck	1.9	2.0	0.6	1.8	1.1	0.9	1.0	2.4	2.5	2.6	1.6
Gadwall	29.9	23.5	40.8	29.5	25.5	34.1	32.2	14.1	19.1	25.4	27.6
Wigeon	1.5	2.4	1.9	1.5	1.9	5.1	1.6	1.0	2.3	1.9	2.2
GW Teal	5.2	7.0	2.9	5.2	8.0	6.0	1.2	15.5	7.0	8.6	6.8
Pintail	1.1	4.4	0.3	1.5	1.5	2.1	0.6	0.4	1.1	1.7	1.2
Canvasback	1.1	0.0	2.9	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Scaup	2.5	0.3	0.8	0.5	2.9	3.3	12.6	0.8	1.1	1.4	2.9
Ringneck	25.3	9.2	26.1	29.9	14.0	8.5	28.9	17.1	8.3	3.7	17.1
Wood Duck	4.8	16.2	4.6	4.6	14.0	14.0	2.8	16.2	19.9	2.4	9.8
Goldeneye	0.9	0.9	0.1	1.1	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
Bufflehead	1.5	2.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.0	0.4	1.2	0.8	0.9
Merganser	2.0	1.4	1.2	2.4	0.5	2.6	2.9	0.2	1.2	1.0	1.5
BW Teal	0.0	1.0	2.5	0.0	0.7	0.1	2.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.8
Shoveler	0.0	2.9	3.9	0.0	3.5	7.1	3.5	1.4	2.5	1.5	2.9
Ruddy Duck	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1
Redhead	0.8	1.4	0.6	0.2	1.5	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.5
Mottled Duck	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oldsquaw	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 7. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 1990's on the Tennessee River.

Season	99-00	98-99	97-98	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	91-92	90-91	10 Yr. Avg
# hunters checked	1144	1833	1648								
# ducks	1015	2392	1725								
checked											
Mallard	24.3	38.4	21.0	35.3	30.8	35.7	51.9	31.7	43.0	28.4	34.1
Black Duck	1.7	2.8	1.0	1.3	2.2	2.4	4.8	3.8	7.6	2.1	3.0
Gadwall	23.4	12.5	16.7	16.4	23.2	20.4	13.8	16.8	12.7	17.7	17.4
Wigeon	2.3	2.1	3.1	2.0	2.5	3.1	0.9	6.6	3.7	4.5	3.1
GW Teal	15.8	15.8	9.0	5.1	8.4	7.4	6.6	3.9	5.2	8.9	8.6
Pintail	1.2	2.7	5.3	1.9	1.5	3.5	1.7	3.2	0.8	1.3	2.3
Canvasback	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Scaup	3.6	2.8	3.8	1.2	1.4	0.7	1.1	4.2	0.5	1.5	2.1
Ringneck	6.9	10.4	15.1	13.4	6.9	9.5	5.3	15.6	3.0	9.2	9.5
Wood Duck	7.7	4.6	13.5	15.8	11.6	9.1	5.9	6.5	15.5	11.3	10.2
Goldeneye	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.9	1.0	1.1	0.9	2.1	3.0	1.5
Bufflehead	3.2	1.4	1.5	1.0	3.6	0.9	1.2	2.0	2.3	3.9	2.1
Merganser	1.5	2.3	2.0	1.8	1.6	2.2	1.8	3.1	2.6	3.5	2.2
BW Teal	0.4	0.1	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Shoveler	5.5	3.8	4.3	2.2	2.2	3.4	3.9	1.7	0.8	4.1	3.2
Ruddy Duck	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
Redhead	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3
Mottled Duck	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oldsquaw	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 8. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 1980's on the Tennessee River.

Season	89-90	88-89	87-88	86-87	85-86	84-85	83-84	82-83	81-82	80-81	10 Yr. Avg
Mallard	32.7	40.8	23.4	41.1	28.7	41.7	64.5	44.4	32.0	34.3	38.4
Black Duck	4.6	4.1	2.0	2.7	4.4	3.8	5.6	4.7	2.0	4.6	3.9
Gadwall	10.1	3.9	7.2	2.8	4.6	4.0	4.4	5.9	4.1	7.9	5.5
Wigeon	5.0	3.0	5.9	3.4	7.4	9.0	7.5	4.5	6.5	9.3	6.2
GW Teal	5.3	15.8	22.8	18.2	13.1	2.5	3.4	2.5	3.9	4.8	9.2
Pintail	2.1	4.0	5.8	1.5	5.8	2.3	1.8	2.5	5.1	8.5	3.9
Canvasback	0.0	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.7	1.3	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.6	0.7
Scaup	4.8	5.6	4.1	3.1	5.6	3.1	1.3	3.7	1.3	0.6	3.3
Ringneck	10.1	8.1	12	14.4	16.4	19.4	5.5	7.8	17.9	13.2	12.5
Wood Duck	9.8	1.3	2.4	2.9	5.6	8.3	1.6	17.3	17.9	9.5	7.7
Goldeneye	3.5	2.5	2.4	1.2	1.5	1.1	0.5	1.9	2.3	0.9	1.8
Bufflehead	1.0	3.2	1.3	2.8	1.3	0.6	0.1	0.5	3.5	2.7	1.7
Merganser	0.7	3.3	4.7	3.0	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.4	0.5	1.6
BW Teal	0.0	0.1	0.4	1.8	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.4
Shoveler	9.5	2.7	4.2	0.3	2.3	0.9	1.8	1.8	0.5	1.1	2.5
Ruddy Duck	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4
Redhead	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.4
Mottled Duck	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oldsquaw	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 9. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 2010's in the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Season	19-20	18-19	17-18	16-17	15-16	14-15	13-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	5 Yr. Avg
# hunters						396	365	276	297	205	308
checked						390	303	270	291	203	308
# ducks						471	444	571	632	307	485
checked						4/1	777	3/1	032	307	403
			10								
Mallard						1.1	1.3	0.5	0.5	2.0	1.1
Black Duck						0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Gadwall						17.8	21.1	22.9	29.4	40.2	26.3
Wigeon						0.6	2.5	0.9	1.9	0.0	1.2
GW Teal						13.2	6.1	2.4	12.7	2.6	7.4
Pintail						0.2	3.4	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.8
Canvasback						2.3	2.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.9
Scaup						6.1	8.8	53.5	6.3	13.1	17.6
Ringneck						0.0	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.9	0.4
Wood Duck						5.7	13.9	2.3	2.1	33.6	11.5
Goldeneye						0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	2.0	0.4
Bufflehead						4.4	6.8	2.1	7.9	0.0	4.2
Merganser						1.5	0.7	0.0	0.6	1.3	0.8
BW Teal						3.2	2.7	1.6	0.9	0.3	1.7
Shoveler						17.8	16.2	5.8	19.5	2.0	12.3
Ruddy Duck						1.7	2.7	0.5	2.7	0.0	1.5
Redhead						3.8	9.7	6.7	13.9	0.0	6.8
Mottled Duck						0.0	1.1	0.4	0.6	2.0	0.8
Oldsquaw						0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 10. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 2000's in the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Season	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	04-05	03-04	02-03	01-02	00-01	10 Yr. Avg
# hunters	211	239	153	289	387	348	300	408	368	178	325
checked											
# ducks	338	331	252	260	741	344	415	402	676	243	440
checked											
Mallard	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.3	1.0	2.4	0.3	5.9	1.5
Black Duck	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Gadwall	52.8	50.8	43.5	48.5	62.1	59.2	37.8	36.2	49.9	21.8	44.9
Wigeon	3.1	3.1	3.0	1.2	0.9	0.6	2.7	0.5	0.9	3.7	1.7
GW Teal	3.7	3.7	10.3	20.0	14.9	3.2	11.8	13.7	10.1	30.5	14.3
Pintail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.6	0.7
Canvasback	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2
Scaup	12.5	13.3	10.3	6.2	5.0	6.4	5.8	25.3	18.0	8.2	10.7
Ringneck	0.0	0.3	4.0	0.7	3.1	5.2	6.3	3.0	4.6	6.6	4.2
Wood Duck	7.3	7.3	8.6	4.2	2.6	11.9	17.3	3.2	1.8	8.6	7.3
Goldeneye	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bufflehead	2.4	3.1	4.7	0.0	0.7	4.1	2.4	5.2	6.2	4.1	3.4
Merganser	2.3	2.3	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.4
BW Teal	8.8	8.8	1.7	2.7	0.7	1.5	1.9	0.0	1.8	2.5	1.6
Shoveler	5.9	5.9	1.3	4.2	4.9	3.5	5.1	2.7	0.7	2.0	3.1
Ruddy Duck	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.6
Redhead	0.0	0.3	8.2	6.9	0.9	0.6	5.3	0.5	2.2	0.4	3.1
Mottled Duck	0.2	0.1	2.2	3.0	1.5	2.3	1.4	5.9	0.7	2.9	2.5
Oldsquaw	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 11. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 1990's in the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Season	99-00	98-99	97-98	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	91-92	90-91	10 Yr. Avg
# hunters	287	201	213								
checked											
# ducks	565	413	411								
checked											
Mallard	3.6	0.5	0.7	3.6	5.2	5.0	7.8	3.9	6.1	10.0	4.6
Black Duck	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.4	3.9	0.5
Gadwall	35.5	19.9	4.6	8.1	6.0	4.6	2.3	4.8	2.4	2.2	9.0
Wigeon	4.1	3.7	1.9	1.1	1.8	7.4	2.0	3.3	0.8	3.1	2.9
GW Teal	28.4	48.2	25.0	15.5	35.0	32.2	34.6	32.7	32.7	27.9	31.2
Pintail	1.6	1.0	1.2	1.3	3.4	2.0	3.8	1.5	2.9	5.2	2.4
Canvasback	1.5	1.7	1.2	1.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.8
Scaup	7.5	9.7	17.0	25	4.3	5.8	4.9	19.8	5.7	3.1	10.3
Ringneck	2.3	2.9	14.0	9.2	7.6	7.0	2.6	1.8	8.2	11.8	6.7
Wood Duck	1.8	0.5	1.0	1.4	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.3	1.0
Goldeneye	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bufflehead	4.1	2.7	1.4	1.6	1.5	0.0	0.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Merganser	1.8	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.2	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7
BW Teal	0.6	3.7	15.0	16.1	11.5	17.0	13.8	17.2	22.0	23.1	14.0
Shoveler	3.8	1.7	10.0	5.2	14.4	2.9	10.5	6.9	9.0	3.5	6.8
Ruddy Duck	1.6	0.3	0.2	1.2	1.1	2.9	2.0	0.0	0.8	2.2	1.2
Redhead	0.7	2.5	1.0	2.6	2.4	3.3	1.7	0.0	0.4	2.2	1.7
Mottled Duck	1.1	1.0	2.9	6.1	4.5	5.8	11.9	4.5	8.2	0.0	4.6
Oldsquaw	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 12. Percentage of Ducks by Species in the Alabama Hunter Bag Checks Conducted During the Decade of the 1980's in the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Season	89-90	88-89	87-88	86-87	85-86	84-85	83-84	82-83	81-82	80-81	10 Yr. Avg
Mallard	6.1	14.5	11.8	0.8	0.0	0.9	3.8	8.4	5.1	3.0	5.4
Black Duck	1.7	2.4	0.4	2.7	1.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.0
Gadwall	5.8	20.8	13.2	15.6	1.6	1.9	6.2	8.1	3.5	14.6	9.1
Wigeon	4.7	6.8	3.2	3.1	0.0	0.3	3.5	4.1	2.7	12.9	4.1
GW Teal	35.3	29.5	29.3	7.0	1.6	20.1	33.2	38.2	41.7	24.6	26.1
Pintail	6.1	3.4	2.0	1.6	0.0	1.3	2.2	2.5	2.9	3.9	2.6
Canvasback	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	2.5	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.4
Scaup	3.1	5.8	6.9	14.8	88.5	27.9	4.4	0.0	25.3	3.0	18.0
Ringneck	4.2	2.9	5.1	9.4	0.0	3.8	4.9	1.0	1.4	4.5	3.7
Wood Duck	0.6	3.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	8.3	.5	0.3	1.1	3.7	2.0
Goldeneye	0.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
Bufflehead	0.6	1.0	1.6	7.8	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.0	1.3
Merganser	1.1	2.4	1.0	1.6	0.0	0.6	1.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	.8
BW Teal	24.4	4.8	7.5	9.4	1.6	30.1	17.4	13.5	6.7	7.7	12.3
Shoveler	2.2	1.4	11.4	7.0	0.0	5.3	9.0	5.7	3.0	7.9	5.3
Ruddy Duck	1.9	0.5	0.8	10.2	1.6	4.4	8.5	2.7	4.3	13.9	4.9
Redhead	1.1	0.0	3.5	11.7	3.3	1.6	1.9	0.5	0.8	0.7	2.5
Mottled Duck	0.6	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Oldsquaw	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

One coot was taken in the Mobile Delta for each 1.6 hunting trips. The Tennessee Valley averaged only one coot taken for each 19 hunting trips. The low harvest numbers for coot is not indicative of their availability but illustrates they are not a desirable species for most hunters in Alabama.

The Gulf Coast of Alabama traditionally receives only sparse and sporadic use by geese. This year there were only 3 reports of Canada goose harvest. There was also 1 reported snow goose harvested in the Mobile Bay and Delta.

The goose population of the Tennessee Valley contains two distinct segments, resident Canada geese and migrant Canada geese from the Southern James Bay area of Canada. In recent years the resident geese have expanded their numbers to the point of becoming a nuisance in some areas. Alabama has incorporated an early season to improve management of resident geese. This allows hunters more opportunity in the field and the harvest can serve as a tool to contain a goose population explosion. The 2015 Special Goose Season was 15 days beginning on September 1st and ending September 15<sup>th</sup>. Success during this season continues to depend on resident giant Canada geese populations and increasing hunter participation. Bag checks reflected harvest success estimates during this special season to be 3.35 geese harvested per hunter trip; however, these data are biased considering only a handful of private properties were included in the data set. No Canada geese were reported during the first split of regular Canada goose season, September 26th – October 13<sup>th</sup>, outside the Southern James Bay Population zone. The 2<sup>nd</sup> split of the Canada goose season ran from November 27th through November 28th and resulted in no Canada geese recorded in the harvest checks. The 3<sup>rd</sup> split of the Canada goose season ran from December 5<sup>th</sup> through January 31<sup>st</sup> and resulted in 3 Canada geese recorded in the harvest checks. Goose harvest during the regular season decreased slightly as compared to the 2014-15 season. In recent years, migrant Canada goose numbers, most of which winter at Wheeler NWR, were at levels well below historical averages. The small, but presently stable, group of Snow geese that winter in Alabama congregates at Wheeler Refuge where there is no open hunting season. Most goose hunting opportunity is presently in the form of hunting resident Canada geese, which by their nature are harvested more frequently during special seasons or early segments of the regular seasons. These seasons are currently growing slowly in popularity and are providing the most substantial portion of the goose harvest in Alabama. Even though these seasons were implemented early in the population growth stage of resident Canada geese in the state, it is unclear if the harvest is serving successfully as a control measure, and remains small by flyway standards. These "resident" season harvests are not normally subjected to the more drastic harvest swings sometimes associated with weather related migrations of "interiors". Therefore, harvest will not tend to vary greatly from year to year. Harvest of geese in Alabama is expected to continue to track the growth and availability of resident goose harvest opportunity within the state. Table 13 is a comparison of hunter activity measured in the number of trips to harvest one Canada goose at times outside and concurrent with the duck season.

Table 13. Comparison of Number of Hunter Trips to Harvest One Canada Goose in Tennessee Valley.

Dates	Geese/Trip
9/1-9/15/15	3.35
9/26/-10/13/15	N/A
11/27-28/2015	N/A
12/5/15-1/31/16	1 goose/ 824 trips

<u>Recommendations</u>: This project furnishes vital data that is used in developing recommendation on waterfowl season and bag limits for next year. It is recommended that this project continue.

#### Job I-C. Title: Inventory of Wintering Waterfowl

<u>Job I-C. Objective</u>: To determine periods and variations of distribution or abundance of waterfowl on major waterfowl wintering areas within Alabama.

Activity: Two annual aerial waterfowl inventories were flown on the Tennessee River, Mobile Bay and Delta as in previous years. The first of the surveys is termed the pre-season inventory and the second is conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's mid-winter inventory. The results for the complete Tennessee River and the Mobile Bay and Delta inventories are represented in the tables below. The pre-season and mid-winter inventories are compared to historical data in Tables 16 and 18 for the Tennessee River and 17 and 19 for the Mobile area.

The water level on the Tennessee River is presented as average number of feet above normal winter pool level. Normal winter pool represents 157,750 acres. The reservoir areas of the Tennessee River change with fluctuations in water elevation. These are presented in Tables 14 and 15. The Mobile Bay and Delta survey covers about 57,600 acres and requires around two hours to survey. The Bay and Delta are tidally influenced; however, the water acreage varies very little under normal tidal conditions.

The 2015 pre-season surveys were down 40% in the Tennessee Valley (northern) region and down 74% in the Mobile Delta (southern) versus the 2014 survey. Goose numbers surveyed for both regions numbered 523, which was an increase by 9% as compared to 2014.

The 2016 Mid-winter counts reflected a decrease in duck numbers by 26% in the Tennessee Valley and a decrease by 54% in the Mobile Bay and Delta versus the 2015 survey. Compared to the 10 year averages, 2016 mid-winter duck survey numbers were down 27% in the Tennessee Valley and down 28% in the Delta. Mid-Winter goose numbers increased by 15% in the Tennessee Valley compared to 2015 and were down 37% below the last 10 year average. In the Mobile Bay and Delta, mid-winter goose numbers remained very low, and decreased by 30% as compared to the 10 year average.

USFWS personnel reported that the migrant Canada goose numbers continue to decline in general and reported 580 geese were observed during the midwinter waterfowl survey. This number is well above the number (177) observed during the midwinter survey in 2015, but is well below the historical average. Snow goose numbers were reported at 720 birds, fewer than the 903 observed in 2015, although the annual variation in snow goose numbers seems to correlate with population fluctuations. Greater white front geese numbers were reported at 320, which is slightly more than the reported number (300) in 2015. Duck numbers at Wheeler were reported at 44,459 during the midwinter survey and a decrease from the 53,416 reported during the 2015 midwinter survey.

Table 14. Data from Inventories of the Tennessee River and Mobile Bay and Delta for the 2015-2016 Hunting Seasons

Tennessee R	liver	Winter Pool	= 157,750	acres	Summer Po	ool = 196,900 acres		
		Water	Ducks	% Change from	Geese	% Change from	Coots	% Change from
Date	Temperature	Level	Seen	Previous Flight	Seen	Previous Flight	Seen	Previous Flight
11/20/15	51 to 60	0.4	26,862	-40%	523	9%	38,258	-25%
01/05-	30 to 40	0.4	56,804	-26%	2,046	15%	16,842	-46%
06/16								
Mobile Bay	and Delta	Winter Pool	= 57,600 a	acres	Summer Pool = 57,600 acres			
		Water	Ducks	% Change from	Geese	% Change from	Coots	% Change from
Date	Temperature	Level	Seen	Previous Flight	Seen	Previous Flight	Seen	Previous Flight
11/19/15	67	normal	620	-74%	0	N/A	610	-81%
01/06/16	52	normal	1,705	-54%	15	-69%	745	-73%

The Tennessee River inventory is composed of four segments which correspond to the four reservoirs located within the state. The area of Wheeler Reservoir east of U.S. highway 31 which encompasses approximately 21,000 acres is in the inventory but is not included in the area of the reservoir in Table 15.

Table 15. Data from Inventories of the 4 Reservoirs Comprising the Alabama portion of the Tennessee River during the 2015-2016 Hunting Season.

<b>Guntersville F</b>							
Winter Pool =		000 acres			0,200 acres		
	Approximate Survey	Air	Approximate Reservoir	Approximate Reservoir	Number of	Number of	Number of
Date	Time	Temp.	Elevation	Acres	Ducks	Geese	Coots
11/20/15	120 min.	60	595.02	69,101	22,025	250	27,365
01/04/16	120 min.	40	594.3	67,019	12,087	225	9,725
Wheeler Rese	rvoir						
Winter Pool =	550.0 MSL 45,45	50 acres	Summer Poo	1 = 556.0  MSL 6	7,070 acres		
	Approximate		Approximate	Approximate			
	Survey	Air	Reservoir	Reservoir	Number of	Number of	Number
Date	Time	Temp.	Elevation	Acres	Ducks	Geese	Coots
			<u> </u>				
11/20/15	45 min.	51	552.74	55,323*	1,946	70	1,387
01/05/16	45 min.	36	552.4	54,098*	276	55	1,710
	1	•	voir, the inventory	,	1		
*Note: The ac	reage shown is for	the entire reser	voir, the inventory	area is about 21,000	) acres less.		
*Note: The ac	reage shown is for  voir 504.7 MSL 15,0	•	voir, the inventory a	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15	1		
*Note: The ac	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate	the entire reser	Summer Pool Approximate	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate	) acres less.		
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey	the entire reser	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate Reservoir	) acres less.  5,600 acres  Number of	Number of	Number
*Note: The ac	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate	the entire reser	Summer Pool Approximate	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate	) acres less.	Number of Geese	Number Coots
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time	the entire reser  00 acres  Air  Temp.	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate Reservoir Acres	0 acres less.  5,600 acres  Number of Ducks	Geese	Coots
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate Reservoir Acres	O acres less.  5,600 acres  Number of Ducks	Geese 5	Coots 770
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time	the entire reser  00 acres  Air  Temp.	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate Reservoir Acres	0 acres less.  5,600 acres  Number of Ducks	Geese	Coots
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate Reservoir Acres	O acres less.  5,600 acres  Number of Ducks	Geese 5	Coots 770
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15 01/05/16	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05 505.87	area is about 21,000 = 507.7 MSL 15 Approximate Reservoir Acres	O acres less.  5,600 acres  Number of Ducks	Geese 5	Coots 770
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserve Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15 01/05/16  Pickwick Reserve	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min  ervoir  408.0 MSL 37,0	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.  51 30	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05 505.87	area is about 21,000  = 507.7 MSL 15  Approximate Reservoir Acres  15,470 15,234	Number of Ducks  50 69	Geese 5	Coots 770
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserve Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15 01/05/16  Pickwick Reserve	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.  51 30	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05 505.87 Summer Pool	area is about 21,000  = 507.7 MSL 15  Approximate Reservoir Acres  15,470 15,234  pool = 414.0 MSL	Number of Ducks  50 69	Geese 5	Coots 770
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserve Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15 01/05/16  Pickwick Reserve	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min  ervoir  408.0 MSL 37,0  Approximate	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.  51 30  00 acres	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05 505.87 Summer Pool	area is about 21,000  = 507.7 MSL 15  Approximate Reservoir Acres  15,470 15,234  pool = 414.0 MSL Approximate	Number of Ducks  50 69  42,700 acres	Geese 5 0	770 0
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15 01/05/16  Pickwick Rese Winter Pool =	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min  ervoir  408.0 MSL 37,0  Approximate Survey	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.  51 30  00 acres	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05 505.87  Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir	area is about 21,000  = 507.7 MSL 15  Approximate Reservoir Acres  15,470 15,234  pool = 414.0 MSL Approximate Reservoir	Number of Ducks  50 69  42,700 acres	Geese  5 0  Number of	Coots 770 0 Number
*Note: The ac  Wilson Reserv Winter Pool =  Date  11/20/15 01/05/16  Pickwick Rese Winter Pool =	reage shown is for  voir  504.7 MSL 15,0  Approximate Survey Time  30 min 30 min  ervoir  408.0 MSL 37,0  Approximate Survey	the entire reser  00 acres  Air Temp.  51 30  00 acres	Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir Elevation 507.05 505.87  Summer Pool Approximate Reservoir	area is about 21,000  = 507.7 MSL 15  Approximate Reservoir Acres  15,470 15,234  pool = 414.0 MSL Approximate Reservoir	Number of Ducks  50 69  42,700 acres	Geese  5 0  Number of	Coots 770 0 Number

Table 16. Historical Data from Alabama Pre-season Inventories of the Tennessee River.

Decade of the	ne 2010's						
_	~	# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
11/20/15	2015-16	26,862	-40%	523	9%	38,258	-25%
11/24/14	2014-15	44,396	33%	478	-20%	50,703	24%
11/24/13	2013-14	33,325	11%	601	24%	40,752	-29%
11/19/12	2012-13	29,925	-6%	495	14%	57,762	10%
11/20/11	2011-12	32,030	39%	433	-49%	52,223	23%
11/22/10	2010-11	23,020	-38%	855	45%	42,425	-16%
Low Value for		23,020		433		38,258	
High Value f		44,396		855		57,762	
Average for 2	2010s	31,593		564		47,020	
Decade of th	e 2000's						
_	~	# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from
Date	Season 2009-10	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
11/23/09		37,422	.04%	588	99%	76,260	11%
11/25/08	2008-09	37,250	-24%	296	-60%	68,588	-29%
11/20/07	2007-08	48,744	275%	748	279%	96,728	252%
11/21/06	2006-07	17,722	-47%	268	-70%	38,259	70%
11/22/05	2005-06	33,681	261%	910	139%	22,445	-16%
11/20/04	2004-05	9,316	-59%	380	-28%	26,718	-47%
11/23/03	2003-04	22,546	-11%	529	-12%	50,002	4%
11/22/02	2002-03	25,212	7%	600	20%	48,088	-23%
11/18/01	2001-02	23,545	-26%	502	-50%	62,116	83%
12/05/00	2000-01	31,786	47%	1,003	-3%	33,919	-2%
Low Value for	or Decade	9,316		380		22,445	
High Value for	or Decade	48,744		1,003		96,728	
Average for 2	2000s	23,401		599		40,221	
Decade of th	e 1990's						
		# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
12/07/99	99-00	21,636	-26%	1,036	-4%	34,738	-18%
12/08/98	98-99	29,126	5%	1,075	5%	42,196	3%
11/17/97	97-98	27,853	3%	1,025	66%	40,809	29%
11/29/96	96-97	27,011	-20%	619	-32%	31,740	41%
11/29/95	95-96	33,681	77%	910	22%	22,445	33%
12/02/94	94-95	19,041	-54%	745	-44%	16,886	-36%
12/16/93	93-94	41,678	12%	1,331	37%	26,328	-29%
12/14/92	92-93	37,330	26%	975	-29%	37,120	36%
	91-92	29,634	75%	1,370	116%	27,330	13%
	90-91	16,904	7%	633	1%	24,235	27%
Low Value for	or Decade	16,904		745		16,886	
High Value f		41,678		1,370		42,196	
Average for 1		28,389		972		30,383	

Table 16 Continued. Historical Data from Alabama Pre-season Inventories of the Tennessee River.

Decade of th	e 1980's						
		# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from	# of	% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
12/05/89	89-90	15,859	-30%	628	-65%	19080	-2%
	88-89	22,776	-10%	1781	134%	19494	-17%
12/03/87	87-88	25,397	23%	762	N/A	23594	-4%
	86-87	20,700	31%	N/A	N/A	24500	25%
12/03/85	85-86	15,836	-10%	1728	49%	19655	71%
11/29/84	84-85	17,544	40%	1163	37%	11491	-32%
11/29/83	83-84	12,500	51%	850	95%	16800	38%
11/30/82	82-83	8,299	-38%	435	-66%	12206	8%
	81-82	13,406	-6%	1271	24%	11265	102%
11/25/80	80-81	14,322		1028		5563	
Low Value for	or Decade	8,299		435		5,563	
High Value f	or Decade	25,397		1,781		24,500	
Average for	1980's	16,664		965		16,365	

Table 17. Historical Number of Waterfowl Seen During Pre-season Inventories of the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Decade of th	e 2010s						
			% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
11/19/15	2015-16	620	-74%	0	N/A	610	-81%
11/25/14	2014-15	2,414	124%	0	N/A	3,235	-67%
11/25/13	2013-14	1,080	-54%	0	N/A	9,880	1%
11/20/12	2012-13	2,365	-22%	2	-80%	9,780	-10%
11/21/11	2011-12	3,047	169%	10	N/A	10,875	247%
11/23/10	2010-11	1,132	-7%	0	N/A	3,132	152%
Low Value for		620		0		610	
High Value f		3,047		10		10,875	
Average for 2		1,776		2		6,252	
Decade of th	e 2000s	1			<b>T</b>	, ,	
-			% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date 11/23/09	Season 2009-10	Ducks 1,211	Previous Season -48%	Geese 0	Previous Season	Coots 1,239	Previous Season 196%
11/23/09	2009-10	2,356	62%	0		418	-61%
11/24/08	2008-09	·	20%	0			42%
		1,453				1,065	
11/20/06	2006-07	1,166	-34%	0		1,850	83%
11/21/05	2005-06	1,790	49%	0		1,007	-55%
11/22/04	2004-05	1,200	-58%	0		2,253	-40%
11/25/03	2003-04	2,889	19%	0		3,781	127%
11/24/02	2002-03	2,421	-40%	0		1,664	-51%
11/20/01	2001-02	4,054	-6%	0		3,390	-59%
12/04/00	2000-01	4,331	-64%	0		8,214	-76%
Low Value for		1,166		0		1,007	
High Value for		4,331		0		8,214	
Average for 2		2,550		0		3,165	
Decade of th	e 1990s	1			T	T	
Date	<b>G</b>	D .1.	% Change from	<b>C</b>	% Change from	Control	% Change from
Date 12/08/99	Season 99-00	Ducks 12,088	Previous Season 94%	Geese 0	Previous Season	Coots 34,152	Previous Season 349%
12/08/99				0		·	
	98-99	6,241	39%			7,613	-76%
11/10/97	97-98	4,485	53%	0		31,090	245%
11/12/96	96-97	2,931	54%	0		9,008	-5%
11/13/95	95-96	1,908	71%	0		9,446	48%
11/14/94	94-95	1,116	-33%	0		6,398	34%
11/17/93	93-94	1,654	-75%	0		4,779	-40%
11/17/92	92-93	6,498	32%	0		7,916	-55%
11/22/91	91-92	4,908	29%	0		17,572	314%
11/13/90	90-91	3,802	-2%	0		4,245	-19%
Low Value for		1,116		0		4,245	
High Value f		12,088		0		34,152	
Average for 1	1990s	4,563		0		13,222	

Table 17 Continued. Historical Number of Waterfowl Seen During Pre-season Inventories of the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Decade of th	ne 1980s						
			% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
11/13/89	89-90	3,888	99%	0		5,215	63%
	88-89	1,955	-44%	0		3,200	-40%
	87-88	3,475	190%	0		5,295	-50%
	86-87	1,200	-54%	0		10,600	64%
	85-86	2,610	-65%	10		6,480	20%
	84-85	7,400	63%	0		5,420	-71%
	83-84	4,530	-42%	0		19,000	86%
	82-83	7,785	1%	6		10,200	-10%
	81-82	7,710	-10%	0		11,330	-54%
	80-81	8,560		0		24,475	
Low Value for	or Decade	1,200		0		3,200	
High Value f	for Decade	8,560		10		24,475	·
Average for	1980s	4,911		2		10,122	

Table 18. Historical Number of Waterfowl Seen During Mid-winter Inventories of the Tennessee River.

Decade of t		1		1		T	T
Date	Season	Ducks	% Change from Previous Season	Geese	% Change from Previous Season	Coots	% Change from Previous Season
1/4&5/16	2015-16	56,804	-26%	2,046	15%	16,842	-46%
1/5/15	2014-15	77,074	-2%	1,779	-60%	31,228	-10%
1/8/14	2013-14	78,525	-13%	4,433	-7%	34,819	8%
1/7/13	2012-13	90,252	18%	4,775	310%	32,377	-16%
1/4/12	2011-12	76,505	5%	1,163	-69%	38,610	-16%
1/4/11	2010-11	72,800	33%	3,707	38%	45,872	68%
Low Value 1	for Decade	56,804		1,163		16,842	
High Value	for Decade	90,252		4,775		45,872	
Average for		75,326		2,984		33,291	
Decade of t	he 2000s						
Date	Season	Ducks	% Change from Previous Season	Geese	% Change from Previous Season	Coots	% Change from Previous Season
1/5/10	2009-10	54,866	16.2%	2,677	15.8%	27,269	8.2%
1/6/09	2008-09	47,228	17.7%	2,312	600%	25,213	8.2%
1/7/08	2007-08	40,113	179%	329	-69%	95,307	600%
1/7/07	2006-07	14,384	-50%	1,075	33%	13,500	-69%
1/5/06	2005-06	29,016	25%	811	147%	43,893	11%
1/3/05	2004-05	23,282	-25%	328	21%	39,460	-16%
1/7/04	2003-04	31,035	8%	271	-37%	47,188	5%
1/7/03	2002-03	28,781	19%	427	-56%	44,992	59%
1/8/01	2001-02	24,277	-40%	975	-4%	28,327	-28%
1/3/01	2000-01	40,182	52%	1,020	12%	39,092	-3%
Low Value	for Decade	14,384		271		13,500	
High Value	for Decade	40,182		1,075		47,188	
Average for		27,280		701		36,636	
Decade of t	he 1990s	1		ı			T
Date	Season	Ducks	% Change from Previous Season	Geese	% Change from Previous Season	Coots	% Change from Previous Season
1/4/00	99-00	26,397	-30%	910	-17%	40,502	4%
1/05/99	98-99	37,670	24%	1,101	-32%	38,929	-3%
1/10/98	97-98	30,296	111%	1,608	50%	39,966	196%
1/7/97	96-97	14,384	-45%	1,075	-4%	13,500	-43%
-,,,,,	95-96	26,116	11%	1,123	20%	23,543	35%
	94-95	23,479	-29%	934	-18%	17,428	-44%
	93-94	33,078	11%	1,138	32%	31,023	-7%
	92-93	29,906	-14%	865	37%	33,275	3%
	91-92	34,777	85%	632	11%	32,280	21%
	90-91	18,823	34%	567	-75%	26,692	26%
Low Value 1		14,384	2 7,0	567		13,500	2070
High Value		37,670		1,608		40,502	
Average for		27,493		995		29,714	

Table 18 Continued. Historical Number of Waterfowl Seen During Mid-winter Inventories of the Tennessee River.

Decade of t	he 1980s						
			% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
	89-90	14,088	-20%	2,274	70%	21,155	-24%
	88-89	17,588	5%	1,336	73%	27,763	6%
	87-88	16,818	11%	773	N/A	26,278	10%
	86-87	15,100	17%	N/A	N/A	23,800	158%
	85-86	12,925	34%	3,188	288%	9,232	-25%
	84-85	9,620	-41%	822	-54%	12,385	13%
	83-84	16,200	19%	1,800	82%	11,000	-26%
1/4/83	82-83	13,589	-39%	991	-6%	14,931	26%
1/5/82	81-82	22,159	-13%	1,053	-28%	11,887	-4%
	80-81	25,426		1,470		12,333	
Low Value	for Decade	9,620		822		9,232	
High Value	for Decade	25,426		3,188		27,773	
Average for	1980s	16,351		1,523		17,076	

Table 19. Historical Number of Waterfowl Seen During Mid-winter Inventories of the Mobile Bay and Delta.

Decade of the	he 2010s						
			% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Season
1/6/16	2015-16	1,705	-54%	15	-69%	745	-73%
1/6/15	2014-15	3,692	231%	48	-34%	5,250	293%
1/7/14	2013-14	1,114	-71%	72	63%	1,335	-73%
1/8/13	2012-13	3,790	37%	45	21%	4,889	6%
1/3/12	2011-12	2,757	61%	37	362%	4,607	36%
1/4/11	2010-11	1,710	-13%	8	100%	3,400	49%
Low Value f	or Decade	1,114		8		745	
High Value	for Decade	3,790		72		5,250	
Average for	2010s	2,461		38		3,371	
Decade of the	he 2000s						
~	G	<b>.</b>	% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date 1/05/10	Season 2009-10	Ducks 1,970	Previous Season 411%	Geese 4	Previous Season	Coots 2286	Previous Season
	2009-10						
1/06/09		385	-312%	0		0	100/
	2007-08	3,709	356%	0		2,286	-10%
1/9/07	2006-07	814	36%	0		2,532	325%
1/6/06	2005-06	599	-52%	0		596	-80%
1/4/05	2004-05	1,259	13%	0		3,017	10%
1/8/04	2003-04	1,118	98%	0		2,751	134%
1/8/03	2002-03	562	-80%	0		1,175	-65%
1/8/02	2001-02	2,779	-35%	0		3,390	0%
1/3/01	2000-01	4,331	-28%	0		3,395	-68%
Low Value f	or Decade	562		0		596	
High Value	for Decade	4,331		0		3,395	
Average for	2000s	1637		0		2,408	
Decade of the	he 1990s	_					
Date	Season	Ducks	% Change from Previous Season	Geese	% Change from Previous Season	Coots	% Change from Previous Season
1/05/00	99-00	6,046	-25%	0		10,657	-35%
1/07/99	98-99	8,017	167%	0		16,409	175%
1/08/98	97-98	3,000	-38%	0		5,969	-36%
1/09/97	96-97	4,832	-4%	8		9,372	8%
1/05/96	95-96	5,054	99%	0		8,718	-46%
	94-95	2,538	12%	9		16,018	131%
	93-94	2,262	35%	4		6,930	135%
	92-93	1,673	-63%	0		2,954	-36%
	91-92	4,496	-63%	0		4,631	-20%
	90-91	12,229	-18%	0		5,816	-12%
Low Value f	For Decade	1,673		0		2,954	
High Value		12,229		9		16,409	
Average for	1990s	5,015		2		8,747	

Table 19 Continued. Historical Number of Waterfowl Seen During Mid-winter Inventories of the Mobile Bay and Delta.

cade of th			% Change from		% Change from		% Change from
Date	Season	Ducks	Previous Season	Geese	Previous Season	Coots	Previous Seaso
	89-90	14,916	22%	0		6,605	50%
	88-89	12,258	209%	0		4,400	161%
	87-88	3,972	5%	0		1,685	-65%
	86-87	3,800	-55%	0		4,800	-61%
	85-86	8,367	61%	85		12,365	56%
	84-85	5,188	-44%	0		7,910	-36%
	83-84	9,300	9%	0		12,300	19%
	82-83	8,550	8%	0		10,325	-27%
	81-82	7,895	-42%	0		14,200	-15%
	80-81	13,500				16,700	
w Value for	or Decade	3,800		0		1,685	
gh Value f	or Decade	14,916		85		16,700	
verage for 1	1980s	8,775		9		9,129	

<u>Recommendations</u>: This project furnishes vital data that is used in developing recommendation on waterfowl season and bag limits for next year. It is recommended that this project continue.